

MFTAC Meeting Agenda
 Friday, May 15, 2020
 Zoom Meeting
 9:00 - 10:15 a.m.

Meeting called to order at 9:10 a.m.

Present/Absent (V for Visitor; S for Staff)

Ron Cathey	P	Claude Guillotte	P
Kathy Steele	P	Kelly Tyner	P

Item	Discussion/Conclusions	Motions / Recommendations	Person Responsible	Target Date
Approval of Final Agenda		Motion: Kathy Second: Kelly Approved : Unanimously without amendments 9:12 am		
Approval of Minutes from Previous Meeting(s)	Minutes from January 2020	Motion: Kelly Second: Kathy Approved unanimously without amendments 9:13 am		

<p>Report on National Exam</p> <p>C. Guillotte</p>	<p>As of May 2020:</p> <p>() persons requested packets for the national exam;</p> <p>() took the exam in Nov and Dec</p> <p>() persons passed the national exam;</p> <p>() persons failed the national exam.</p> <p>()Exam score transferred</p> <p>Resources for MFT Exam Preparation</p> <p>a. Family Solutions Institute https://familysolutionsinstitute.com/</p> <p>b. Therapists Development Center.com (This program reports a 95% pass rate.) https://www.therapistdevelopmentcenter.com/exam-prep/mft</p> <p>c. Marriage & Family Therapy Exam https://mftexam.com/</p> <p>d. https://amftrb.org/practice-exam/</p>	<p>This data is not known at the time of the MFTAC board meeting.</p>		
--	---	---	--	--

<p>Correspondence R. Cathey</p>	<p>1) APPLICANT: Richard Hanzy</p> <p>TYPE OF APPLICATION: Endorsement DATE ASSIGNED: 05/13/2020</p> <p>Richard Hanzy is an endorsement applicant that has been licensed in California since 3/19/2015. Since he is licensed in California, he has not taken the National Marriage and Family Therapy Examination. I know there have been several discussions about accepting this exam and/or updating the Board Rules.</p>	<p>The MFTAC board previously determined that the California exam would suffice for those applying for licensure.</p> <p>Discussed re-visiting wording regarding licensure exam equivalence. Reviewed the rule.</p> <p>3701.a Adding a clause after “AAMFTRB examination in marital and family therapy,” that would include “or substantially equivalent exam as determined by the MFTAC”</p> <p>Motion: Claude Second: Kathy Approved unanimously without amendments 9:28 am</p>	<p>Raylene will respond to the LMFT.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

	<p>2) VIRGINIA PEDEN PLMFT APPLICATION Applicant is lacking semester hours in the following area required for provisional licensure as a PLMFT: - Ethics (2 hours received on transcript) 3 Hours Required</p> <p>Please note that I have only listed a total of 9 hours in the area of Clinical Knowledge of MFT (12 hours required) on the PLMFT Application Review Form. There are three courses that I have listed on this form that could potentially be used to fulfill the additional 3 hours needed to fulfill this area.</p> <p>The applicant has requested that MFTAC consider that she has passed the MFT California Law and Ethics Exam and allow this to fulfill the additional 1 semester hour needed to fulfill the Ethics academic requirement.</p> <p>Recommendation: Deny applicant for lacking one semester hour in ethics course. Allow applicant to re-apply once required additional hour in an MFT ethics course that is required for Ethics within one year of application</p>	<p>Reviewed a similar case (Amanda Johnston) in which the extra hour of required Ethics training would be added to licensure requirements.</p> <p>Reviewed rule: 3311.a.3: a course is defined as 3 hours 3311.b.e. Professional identity in ethics.</p> <p>Applicant has a 2 hour course entitled "Professional ethics and family law"</p> <p>Kathy Moves Approve based on the course taken by the applicant entitled "CA MFT Law and Ethics Prep Course." This is substantially equivalent to more than one hour credit. Second: Claude</p>		
--	---	--	--	--

	<p>submission date without re-payment of application fee.</p> <p>Documents to Review:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- PLMFT Application Review Form- California Institute of Integral Studies Transcript- Course Descriptions-Email Correspondence RE CA Exam to be Considered	<p>Approved unanimously without amendments 9:50 am</p> <p>Kathy recommends that we discuss this with Jamie so that she can instruct the staff on accepting these additional courses</p>	<p>Ron to follow up with Jamie and Raylene</p>	
--	---	---	--	--

<p>Work Group Reports <u>Work Group Assignments</u> Kelly - Legislative Claude - Licensure and Supervision Kathy - Rules/Policy Ron - Rules/Policy</p>				
<p>Licensure & Supervision C. Guillotte</p>	<p>See Correspondence above 7 new applicants is promising.</p>		<p>Board staff</p>	

MFT Rules

K. Steele:

Kathy: new policy statement to be considered today in Board meeting:
Policy statements about

1. Substantially Equivalent

2. Fingerprints and CRC.

<p>Legislative</p> <p>C. Guillotte & K. Tyner</p>	<p>House Bill 422 Claude discusses an “apprenticeship” status that would remove educational requirements from those who apply for licensure of all licensing boards. Only indicates needing to Pass exam, pay fees, and have completed an “apprenticeship.”</p> <p>House bill 613: Military members and spouses that are relocated here would be given licensure status once passing an exam, paying fees, and being licensed at least one year.</p> <p>House Bill 622: Universal license reciprocity act. Standardizes endorsement with licensure. Must have been licensed one year. As it stands, it takes the MFTAC out of the decision regarding granting licensure to those entering the state. Wording is broad.</p> <p>House Bill 71: all state boards and agencies will waive all fees until</p>			
--	--	--	--	--

	<p>the end of the 2021 legislative session to relieve the financial burden related to COVID-19</p>			
<p>Policy & Procedure R. Cathey & K. Steele</p>	<p>To discuss peer supervision in future board meetings. Ron indicates that he has several documents related to this. Will also discussed the possibility of getting CEUs for this and a review of related rules. Discuss criteria and/or a template for documenting this. <u>LPC Policy about Peer Supervision:</u> Peer Supervision (10 hour maximum per renewal period). One may receive one clock hour of continuing education per hours of performing peer supervision activities. For example, case work consultation, (we would add): a peer supervision group for</p>	<p>MFTAC in future board meetings.</p>		

	<p>the purpose of supervisor issues.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">*Staff Currently accepts a sign-in sheet or email.*We could add a statement about a Peer Supervisor group. <p>**This needs to be added to rules--</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">*Kathy--send MFTAC copy of CCS 2017*David--need mechanism to evaluate supervisors (by supervisees).*Could add line on application of supervisor part of PLMFT to name evaluations that will be used.*Could offer experiential workshop (dually focused) where evaluation tools would be provided and practiced during the workshop.			<p>Kathy</p>
--	--	--	--	--------------

	David offered the possibility of a mini-conference for this.			
--	--	--	--	--

<p>New Business</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Edit and update of supervision video on LPC Board website Ron will update for Prezi. Includes Supervisor's notebook. 2. Edit exam on LPC Board website to fit with supervision video 3. Guidelines to define peer supervision (see policy/procedures above) 4. Promotional piece of the value/benefits of becoming a PLMFT to MFT. 5. Update the presentation for LAMFT conference. Could offer tools for evaluation on LPC Board website. 		<p>Ron</p>	
----------------------------	---	--	------------	--

<p>Items to Report to LPC Board</p>	<p>Licensure Report (C. Guillotte)</p> <p>3701.a Adding a clause after “AAMFTRB examination in marital and family therapy,” that would include “or substantially equivalent exam as determined by the MFTAC”</p> <p>Updating supervision manual, video, and exam</p>	<p>Ron has started the revision of this. Has discussed this with Jamie. A power point is no longer compatible with the website. Looking at Prezzy. Ron plans to strip out all of the old information and have it ready for review during the July meeting. May have to update the handbook as well.</p>		
<p>Public/Non-Committee Member Comments</p>	<p>NA</p>			
<p>Adjournment/ Suspension of Agenda</p>		<p>MVD: Claude SCND: Kathy Motion Approved</p> <p>Meeting Adjourned at 10:17 a.m.</p>		

Next Meeting		Friday July 17, 2020		
---------------------	--	-------------------------	--	--

MFT Licensure Reports

Claude Guillotte

<u>PLMFT Registration</u>	Applied	Approved	Denied
Section 1 Totals	2	2	0
Staff Review:	2	2	0
MFTAC Review	0	0	0

	Applied	Approved	Denied
Section 2 Totals	7	7	0
Staff Review	7	7	0
MFTAC Review	0	0	0

Section 3 Totals	2	2	0
Staff Review	2	2	0
MFTAC Review:	0	0	0

Applied Approved Denied

Changing Practice Setting Totals	5	5	0
Staff Review	5	5	0
MFTAC Review	0	0	0

*This number included in Section 2 Total

Changing Supervisor Totals	0	0	0
Staff Review	0	0	0
MFTAC Review	0	0	0

*This number is also included in Section 3 Total

Licensure Applications	Applied	Approved	Denial
Totals	4		
Staff Review	3	3	0
MFTAC Review	1		

Approved Supervisor Applications	Applied	Approved	Denial
<u>Registered Supervisor Candidate Totals</u>	0	0	0
Staff Review	0	0	0
<u>MFTAC</u>	0	0	0
<u>Approved Supervisor Totals</u>	0	0	0
<u>Staff Review</u>	0	0	0

<u>MFTAC Review</u> <u>Rule - 3317.A.</u>	0	0	0
--	---	---	---

LMFT Renewals (as of May 2020)	Renewed	Non-Renewed
LMFT (581 eligible)	475	106
Staff Review	0	0
MFTAC Review	0	0
PLMFT Renewal	Renewed	Non-Renewed
Staff Review	9	6
MFTAC Review	0	0
LMFT Supervisor	0	0
Staff Review	0	0
MFTAC Review	0	0